



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/531,983

03/15/2006

Patric Heide

0563-1113

5796

466 7590 07/02/2008

YOUNG & THOMPSON
209 Madison Street
Suite 500
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

GREGORY, BERNARR E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3662

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/02/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/531,983	Applicant(s) HEIDE ET AL.	
	Examiner Bernarr E. Gregory	Art Unit 3662	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bernarr E. Gregory. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Jeremy Mereness. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 17 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The open-ended ranges in claims 17 and 23 were discussed. The examiner suggested that on lines 4 and 7 of claim 17, RF or radar could be used just before the word "oscillator" to make clear that the range of frequencies is not open-ended, but is a finite range within radio or radar frequencies. Likewise, in claim 23, RF or radar could be used before the word "filter" to make clear that the filter is within a finite range of frequencies.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bernarr E. Gregory/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3662

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required